# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45064 Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 RN101830750 Docket No. 2012-1939-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

Media:

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Wag A Bag 3, 403 South Greer Boulevard, Pittsburg, Camp County

**Type of Operation:** 

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: January 4, 2013

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed:** \$7,875

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$1,575 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$210 Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,090

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$174 each

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - High Site/RN - High

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Statutory Limit Adjustment. N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45064 Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 RN101830750 Docket No. 2012-1939-PST-E

# **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 18, 2012 and August 28, 2012

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** August 28, 2012

## Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].

# Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

## **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

N/A

## **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system; and
- ii. Implement a method of release detection for all USTs at the Facility.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.

## Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A
Settlement Date: N/A

# Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 45064 Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 RN101830750 Docket No. 2012-1939-PST-E

# **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Andrea Park, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 6, MC R-12, (713) 422-8970; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Faisal K. Agha, President, Kuick Way Enterprises Inc, P.O. Box 6421,

Paris, Texas 75461-6421

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 DATES Assigned 4-Sep-2012 25-Sep-2012 Screening 24-Sep-2012 PCW **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101830750 Facility/Site Region 5-Tyler Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 45064 Docket No. 2012-1939-PST-E No. of Violations 3 Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Andrea Park EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$8,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 -10.0% Enhancement -\$875 Reduction for high performer classification. Notes 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 \$0 0.0% Enhancement\* Subtotal 6 Economic Benefit \$0 Total FB Amounts \$440 \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$7,875 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$7,875

\$7,875

-\$1,575

\$6,300

Notes

Notes

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

**Respondent** Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3

**Case ID No.** 45064

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101830750

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Andrea Park

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Addits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ease Enter Yes or No	T
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (	Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
High Perl	former Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
pliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Reduction for high performer classification.		

Screening Da	and the second second personal	PCW
Case ID N Reg. Ent. Reference N	<b>o.</b> 45064	sion 3 (September 2011) Revision August 3, 2011
Violation Numb		7
Rule Cite	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)	
Violation Descripti	on Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system.	
	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
Relea OR Act	<b>se</b> Major Moderate Minor	
Poten		
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification		
Tasineace	Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Human hea	Ith or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels	
	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	
	Adjustment \$21,250	
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number	of Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
mark only oi with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	\$3,750
One mont	hly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the August 28, 2012 record review to the September 24, 2012 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Co	mply 0.0% Reduction  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
	Extraordinary	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
\$ <u>\$1.50</u> 0,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000	Violation Subtotal	\$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB) f		
Estin	sated EB Amount \$357 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,375
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,375

Item Description		2006.970.NUNETER				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Item Description						5.0	15
	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
halawa gaara	. 2540 a Calabi Pitanggani . 2540 a Calabi Pitanggani						
Delayed Costs Equipment	\$6,000	18-Jun-2012	24-Apr-2013	0.85	\$17	\$340	\$357
Buildings	30,000	10-3011-2012	24-ADI-2013.	0.00	\$17 \$0	\$340 \$0	\$357 \$0
Other (as needed)	<b></b>	<del> </del>		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/construction		<b> </b>		0.00	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Land	<del></del>	1		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling		<b>†</b>		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	<del></del>	<del> </del>	~	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
	Estimated	cost to install a co	rrosion protectí				
Notes for DELAYED costs		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date	em and test the so	ystem. The date recate of compliance.	juired is the
Avoided Costs		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date enterir	em and test the sist the expected do	ystem. The date rec ate of compliance. for one-time avoid	quired is the
		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date <b>enterir</b> 0.00	em and test the so is the expected do ng item (except i	ystem. The date rec ate of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0	quired is the ed costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date enterir 0.00	em and test the so is the expected do not item (except of \$0 \$0	ystem. The date recate of compliance.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	quired is the  ed costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	em and test the so is the expected do ng item (except i	ystem. The date rec ate of compliance. for one-time avoid \$0	ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel espection/Reporting/Sampling		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date enterir 0.00	em and test the sist the expected do so	ystem. The date recate of compliance.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		investigation d	ate, and the fin	on syst al date enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	em and test the sist the expected do so	ystem. The date recate of compliance.  for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0

PCW	24-Sep-2012 <b>Docket No.</b> 2012-1939-PST-E Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 <i>Policy Revision</i>	
on 3 (September 2011) Levision August 3, 2011	45064 PCW Rev RN101830750 Petroleum Storage Tank	Case II Reg. Ent. Reference
	<u> </u>	Violation Nu Rule C
	50 Text Adminit Code y 554.35(b)(1)(A) and Text Water Code y 20.3473(c)(1)	
	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).	Violation Descr
\$25,000	Base Penalty	
	rty and Human Health Matrix Harm	
		OR Po
	Major Moderate Minor	>Programmatic Mal
	Percent 0.0%	
	n or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.  Adjustment \$21,250	
\$3,750	+	
		iolation Events
	Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	Numl
\$3,750	daily weekly monthily quarterly semiannual annual single event	mark on with a
	event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the August 28, 2012 record review to the September 24, 2012 screening date.	One mo
\$0	ply 0.0% Reduction  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ood Faith Efforts to
	Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x)	
HITHHIAHHITA AAAA	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
\$3,750	Violation Subtotal	
	this violation Statutory Limit Test	conomic Benefit (EB
\$3,375	ed EB Amount \$64 Violation Final Penalty Total	Es
\$3,375	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	

Media Violation No.	RN101830750 Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.						5.0	Depreciation 15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	ļ			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	<b></b>			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	11 -	11 11		0.00	\$0	n/a l	\$0
_ ·							
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$1,500	18-Jun-2012	24-Apr-2013 USTs for release	0.00 0.85	\$0 \$64	n/a n/a	\$0 \$64
	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of complianc	n/a n/a ne investigation data e.	\$0 \$64 2, and the final
Other (as needed)	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of complianc	n/a n/a ne investigation date	\$0 \$64 2, and the final
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of complianc	n/a n/a ne investigation data e.	\$0 \$64 2, and the final
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance ong item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ne investigation date e. for one-time avoid	\$0 \$64 e, and the final ded costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  aspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance of the second is the seco	n/a n/a n/e investigation date e. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  nspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance in the date of compliance is the date of compliance is the date of compliance is the date of the date o	n/a n/a ne investigation date e. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  nspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is ti date of complianc **s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ne investigation date e. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final ied costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel respection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance ag item (except is \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a ne investigation date e.  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is ti date of complianc **s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a ne investigation date e. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final ied costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel  nspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]  ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]  Other (as needed)	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance ag item (except is \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a ne investigation date e.  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 e, and the final s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	st to monitor the l	JSTs for release date is the ex	0.00 0.85 es. The pected 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$64 date required is the date of compliance ag item (except is \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a ne investigation date e.  for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$64 2, and the final s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
Case ID No Reg. Ent. Reference No	. 45064 P . RN101830750 <b>]</b> Petroleum Storage Tank	levision 3 (September 2011) CW Revision August 3, 2011
Violation Numbe Rule Cite(s	- II	
Violation Descriptio		on
	Base Pena	lty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope  Releas  OR Actual Potentia	LUBBANUBANUHUR AKKINIBERRAK RURA	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor  Percent 5.0%	
Matrix Notes	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
	Adjustment \$23,7	50
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		\$1,250
Violation Events	Violation Events 1 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly Violation Base Penal	t <b>y</b> \$1,250
	semiannual annual single event x	
	One single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Con	nply 0.0% Reduction  Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A X (mark with x)	\$0
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	THE
	Violation Subtot	<b>al</b> \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) fo		
Estima	ted EB Amount \$19 Violation Final Penalty Tot  This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	s) \$1,125

	Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3					- 0	Depreciation
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	۷rs	Interest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	1 EB Amount
Item Description						<b>.</b>	
१ १४ १४ १८५ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४ १४				() PUBER (435)	1111214111148844888888888888888		
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	π/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	18-Jun-2012	24-Mar-2013	0.76	\$19	n/a	\$19
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to maintain US		0.00 0.00 late re	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves		\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)			the expect	0.00 0,00 fate reded dat	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance.	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 ne final date is
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs			the expect	0.00 0,00 fate reded dat	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance.	n/a n/a tigation date, and th	\$0 \$0 ne final date is
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs			the expect	0.00 0.00 late reced dat enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ng item (except i \$0 \$0	n/a n/g tigation date, and the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel			the expect	0,00 0,00 fate reded dat enterir 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ig item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a tigation date, and the for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			the expect	0.00 0.00 date red ed dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ng item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/g  tigation date, and the solution of th	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			the expect	0.00 0.00 date red dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ng item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a tigation date, and the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]			the expect	0.00 0.00 date red dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ng item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a tigation date, and the solution of	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			the expect	0.00 0.00 date red dat enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 quired is the inves e of compliance. ng item (except i \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a tigation date, and the for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 ne final date is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



# Compliance History Report

**PENDING** Compliance History Report for CN604072744, RN101830750, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012.

Customer, Respondent, or CN604072744, Kuick Way Enterprises Inc Classification: HIGH Rating: 0.00 Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity: RN101830750, WAG A BAG 3 Classification: HIGH Rating: 0.00 **Complexity Points:** Repeat Violator: 14 - Other CH Group: 403 S GREER BLVD PITTSBURG, TX 75686-1701, CAMP COUNTY Location: **REGION 05 - TYLER** TCEQ Region: ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION 10630 **Compliance History Period:** September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012 Rating Year: 2012 Rating Date: 09/01/2012 **Date Compliance History Report Prepared:** September 25, 2012 **Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:** Enforcement September 25, 2007 to September 25, 2012 **Component Period Selected:** TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

#### Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

Phone: (713) 422-8970

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

YES

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

Kuick Way Enterprises Inc M A ENTERPRISES INC

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

THA ENTER ROSES INC

owner(s)/operator(s)?

Name: Andrea Park

AGHA Food Stop, Inc.

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

5/30/2012

occur?

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

**B.** Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

N/A

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

**Sites Outside of Texas:** 

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	· §	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
KUICK WAY ENTERPRISES INC	§	TEAAS COMMISSION ON
DBA WAG A BAG 3	§	
RN101830750	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1939-PST-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 403 South Greer Boulevard in Pittsburg, Camp County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's four underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about September 2, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$7,875) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Ten Dollars (\$210) of

the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$1,575) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Ninety Dollars (\$6,090) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Seventy-Four Dollars (\$174) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide proper corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 18, 2012 and a record review conducted on August 28, 2012.

Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1939-PST-E Page 3

- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 18, 2012 and a record review conducted on August 28, 2012.
- 3. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on June 18, 2012 and a record review conducted on August 28, 2012.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

## IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3, Docket No. 2012-1939-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10.
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49; and
    - ii. Implement a method of release detection for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.

Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1939-PST-E Page 4

c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Tyler Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2916 Teague Drive Tyler, Texas 75701-3734

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3 DOCKET NO. 2012-1939-PST-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

# SIGNATURE PAGE

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	2/15/19 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand tagree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified thaccepting payment for the penalty amount, is ma	f the entity indicated below my signature, and I nerein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
<ul><li>additional penalties, and/or attorney fees</li><li>Increased penalties in any future enforcer</li></ul>	may result in:  as submitted; eneral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, s, or to a collection agency; ment actions; eral's Office of any future enforcement actions; by law.
Signature  FAISAL /C AGHA  Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Kuick Way Enterprises Inc dba Wag A Bag 3	Date  President  Title
<b>Instructions</b> : Send the original, signed Agreed Order Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Par	